

Date: Wed, 31 May 2006 18:07:53 -0700 (PDT)

From: "Clifford Tong" <ctong@burtonvalley.com>

Subject: Soldier Field revised site visibility

To: "Christine Sinnette" <CSinnette@ci.lafayette.ca.us>

CC: "Jeanne Ateljevich" <jateljevich@comcast.net>, "Tom Chastain" <chast@urbisnet.com>, "Jamie Harris" <jharris@interactionassociates.com>, "Dick Holt" <rwholt@aol.com>, "Rick Humann" <rhumann@pacbell.net>, "Will Lovitt" <wjlovitt@comcast.net>, "Mark Mitchell" <mark-mitch@comcast.net>, "Marie Blits" <marieblits@comcast.net>, "Mike Grant" <grantmj@comcast.net>, "Lynn Hiden" <dandlhiden@comcast.net>, "Brad Kisner" <brad@triangledigital.biz>

Dear Christine,

On May 18th, we received a copy of Soldier Field's revised subdivision plans. As you are aware, we have expressed concerns about the potentially high visibility of many of the proposed sites. We have conducted our own visual analysis of the sites and reached the following conclusions:

1. New sites #6 and #7 are completely visible from the public trail at the top of Rohrer Drive. The Hillside ordinance requires that each site must be substantially concealed by existing vegetation. We believe the findings cannot be made for these two sites.
2. New sites #1 and #2 are roughly in the same location as Old site #2. In reviewing our photos taken last year when the story poles were up, it is clear that either or both of these sites may be highly visible from a number of public places, including Burton Valley Elementary, Merriewood, Indian Way, and Sandalwood. We do not believe the findings can be made for one and possibly both of these sites, and recommend further visual analysis be conducted (since neither of these new sites were previously story-poled) before either site is formally recommended by the Planning Commission.

Photos from all of these locations can be viewed at: http://burtonvalley.com/Soldier_Field_pictures.htm

Sincerely,

Clifford Tong
Burtonvalley.com